arXiv:1304.6243v1 [math.NT] 23 Apr 2013

The first factor of the class number of the p-th cyclotomic field

Korneel Debaene

Abstract. Kummer's conjecture states that the relative class number of the p-th cyclotomic field follows a strict asymptotic law. Granville has shown it unlikely to be true – it cannot be true if we assume the truth of two other widely believed conjectures. We establish a new bound for the error term in Kummer's conjecture, and more precisely we prove that $\log(h_p^-) = \frac{p+3}{4}\log(p) + \frac{p}{2}\log(2\pi) + \log(1-\beta) + O(\log_2 p)$, where β is a possible Siegel zero of an $L(s,\chi)$, χ odd.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010). Primary 11R18; Secondary 11R29.

Keywords. Class numbers, Relative Class numbers, Cyclotomic Fields, Kummer's Conjecture, L-functions.

1. Introduction

Let h_p denote the class number of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)$, where p is an odd prime. If we denote by h_p^+ the class number of the totally real field $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p + \zeta_p^{-1})$, then it is well known that h_p^+ divides h_p. We denote the quotient — or so called *first factor* of h_p — by h_p^-. The following formula is an application of the class number formula(see e.g. [10])

$$h_p^- = 2p\left(\frac{p}{4\pi^2}\right)^{\frac{p-1}{4}} \prod_{\chi \bmod p,\text{odd}} L(1,\chi). \tag{1.1}$$

Since the magnitude of the $L(1,\chi)$ is not evident, it is hoped they are insignificant. The guess that h_p^- is asymptotically equivalent to $2p(\frac{p}{4\pi^2})^{\frac{p-1}{4}} =: G(p)$ is known as Kummer's Conjecture. While this seems very strict, and does not agree with the Hardy-Littlewood and Elliot-Halberstam conjecture [2], we show in this paper that the contribution of the $L(1,\chi)$ is modest: the logarithm cannot exceed $\log_2(p)$, plus a term if a Siegel-zero would be present amongst the $L(s,\chi)$. It is opportune to study the logarithm of this equation because the orthogonality property of characters give us

$$\sum_{\chi \bmod p,\ \text{odd}} \log(L(s,\chi)) = \frac{p-1}{2}\left(\sum_{q^m\equiv 1(p)} \frac{1}{mq^{ms}} - \sum_{q^m\equiv -1(p)} \frac{1}{mq^{ms}}\right). \quad (1.2)$$

One can estimate this sum to the right of $s = 1$, where good estimates are available, and using a (near) zero-free region of the L-functions, one can bound the derivative in a neighbourhood of $s = 1$. Masley and Montgomery [7] obtained with these key ingredients that $|\log(h_p^-/G(p))| < 7\log(p)$ for $p > 200$, which is strong enough to solve the class number one problem for cyclotomic fields. Puchta [9] improved this approach by using analogous bounds on higher derivatives, obtaining $\log(h_p^-/G(p)) = \log(1-\beta) + O((\log_2(p))^2)$. Our proof will follow the main ideas from [9], but our practical implementation in section 4 is of a different nature, and yields

Theorem 1.1. $|\log(h_p^-/G(p)) - \log(1-\beta)| \leq 4.1688\log_2(p) + O(1)$

Since $\log(1-\beta)$ is negative, an upper bound without this term may be deduced. Finally, we note that this result sharpens the best known estimate, by Lepistö [5]. Indeed, he proves an upper bound for $\log(h_p^-/G(p))$ with main term $5\log_2(p)$.

2. Bounds to the right of $s = 1$

In this section we exploit formula (1.2), which gives a representation in terms of splitting behaviour in $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)/\mathbb{Q}$. We define $\Pi(x,p,a)$ as the number of primepowers congruent to a mod p and smaller than x, where we weigh the m-th powers with a factor $1/m$. A Brun-Titschmarsh style bound is given by the following lemma, proven in [8].

Lemma 2.1. *For $x > p$, we have that*

$$\Pi(x,p,\pm 1) \leq \frac{2x}{(p-1)\log(x/p)}$$

We define $f(s)$ by

$$f(s) = \left(\sum_{\chi(-1)=-1} \log L(s,\chi)\right) - \log(s-\beta),$$

in case that any of the L-functions with χ odd has a so-called Siegel zero β in $]1-\frac{1}{c\log p}, 1]$, where c is some fixed big enough constant. Otherwise, we leave out the term with the Siegel zero. In any case f is holomorphic in $B(1, \frac{1}{c\log(p)})$. It is worth mentioning that if $p = 1$ mod 4, no Siegel zero occurs.

Lemma 2.2. *For* $\sigma \in]1, 1 + \frac{1}{c\log(p)}]$, *we have the following estimates.*

$$|f(\sigma)| \leq 2\log\big(\frac{1}{\sigma - 1}\big) + \frac{3}{2} \tag{2.1}$$

$$|f'(\sigma)| \leq \big(2 + \frac{1 + \log(c) + \log_2(p)}{c}\big)\frac{1}{(\sigma - 1)} \tag{2.2}$$

$$|f^{(\nu)}(\sigma)| \leq \big(1 + \frac{\nu}{\nu - \sqrt{\nu}} + c_{p,\nu}\big)\frac{(\nu - 1)!}{(\sigma - 1)^{\nu}} \tag{2.3}$$

We may pick the $c_{p,\nu}$ *to be equal to* $\frac{2}{c\log(p)} + \frac{1+\log(c)+\log_2(p)}{c^{\nu}(\nu-1)!} + \frac{\nu}{(\sqrt{\nu})!c^{\sqrt{\nu}}}$.

Proof. The case $\nu = 0$ can be proven as in [7]. The estimates for the derivatives are stated in [9], but the statement is slightly incorrect and the proof omitted, so we will prove them here in full. We bound the sums occurring in the ν-th derivative of (1.2) using Lemma 2.1 and partial summation.

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{p-1}{2}\sum_{q^m \equiv 1(p)} \frac{(m\log(q))^{\nu}}{mq^{m\sigma}} &= \frac{p-1}{2}\int_{2p}^{\infty} \frac{\log(x)^{\nu} d(\Pi(x, p, 1))}{x^{\sigma}} \\
&= \frac{p-1}{2}\int_{2p}^{\infty} \frac{\sigma x^{\sigma-1}\log(x)^{\nu} - \nu x^{\sigma-1}\log(x)^{\nu-1}}{x^{2\sigma}}\Pi(x, p, 1)dx \\
&\leq \int_{2p}^{\infty} \frac{\sigma\log^{\nu}(x)}{x^{\sigma}\log(x/p)}dx \\
&\leq \frac{p\sigma}{p^{\sigma}}\int_{2}^{\infty} \frac{(\log(x) + \log(p))^{\nu}}{x^{\sigma}\log(x)}dx,
\end{aligned}$$

where we possibly omitted the first term $\frac{(p-1)\log(p+1)^{\nu}}{2m(p+1)^{\sigma}}$ if $p+1$ is a primepower q^m. If this is the case, then $q = 2$ and $m = \log(p + 1)/\log(2)$. This term is smaller than $\varepsilon_1\frac{(\nu-1)!}{(\sigma-1)^{\nu}}$ for all σ in the desired range for $\varepsilon_1 = \frac{\log(2)}{2c^{\nu}(\nu-1)!\log(p)}$. We expand the integrand with the binomial theorem, and consider first the term

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{p\sigma}{p^{\sigma}}\log^{\nu}(p)\int_{2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{x^{\sigma}\log(x)}dx &= \frac{p\sigma}{p^{\sigma}}\log^{\nu}(p)\int_{\log(2)}^{\infty} e^{-(\sigma-1)t}\frac{dt}{t} \\
&\leq \frac{p\sigma}{p^{\sigma}}\log^{\nu}(p)\left(\int_{(\sigma-1)\log(2)}^{1} \frac{1}{t}dt + \int_{1}^{\infty} e^{-t}dt\right) \\
&\leq \log^{\nu}(p)\left(\log(\frac{1}{\sigma - 1}) - \log_2(2) + e^{-1}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

Because $p\sigma \leq p^{\sigma}$. We now seek the ε_2 such that

$$\log^{\nu}(p)\left(\log(\frac{1}{\sigma - 1}) - \log_2(2) + e^{-1}\right) \leq \varepsilon_2\frac{(\nu - 1)!}{(\sigma - 1)^{\nu}}.$$

If we put $\varepsilon_2 = \frac{\log_2(p)+\log(c)-\log_2(2)+e^{-1}}{c^{\nu}(\nu-1)!}$, the inequality holds for for $\sigma \to 1$ and for $\sigma = 1 + \frac{1}{c\log(p)}$. One may check that the derivative of the difference does not have a zero in the interval under consideration if $p > e^e$. Thus the difference is monotone, and the inequality holds throughout.

We are left with $\frac{p\sigma}{p^\sigma}\sum_{i=0}^{\nu-1}\frac{\nu!}{(\nu-i)!i!}\log^i(p)\left(\int_2^\infty \frac{\log^{\nu-i-1}(x)}{x^\sigma}dx\right)$. We first estimate the integrals

$$\begin{aligned}\int_2^\infty \frac{\log(x)^a}{x^\sigma}dx &= \int_{\log(2)}^\infty \frac{t^a}{e^{(\sigma-1)t}}dt\\ &= \frac{1}{(\sigma-1)^{a+1}}\int_{(\sigma-1)\log(2)}^\infty t^a e^{-t}dt \le \frac{a!}{(\sigma-1)^{a+1}},\end{aligned}$$

so the rest of the terms can be estimated by

$$\frac{p\sigma(\nu-1)!}{p^\sigma(\sigma-1)^\nu}\sum_{i=0}^{\nu-1}\frac{\nu}{(\nu-i)i!}\left((\sigma-1)\log(p)\right)^i.$$

We split the sum in the range $i < \lfloor\sqrt{\nu}\rfloor$ and the others. We remove the burden of the floor signs from the notation and we will henceforth consider them present implicitly. The first part is smaller than

$$\frac{p\sigma(\nu-1)!}{p^\sigma(\sigma-1)^\nu}\sum_{i=0}^{\infty}\frac{\nu}{(\nu-\sqrt{\nu})i!}\left((\sigma-1)\log(p)\right)^i = \frac{\nu\sigma}{\nu-\sqrt{\nu}}\frac{(\nu-1)!}{(\sigma-1)^\nu},$$

This gives the main contribution $\varepsilon_3\frac{(\nu-1)!}{(\sigma-1)^\nu}$, where $\varepsilon_3 = \frac{\nu}{\nu-\sqrt{\nu}}(1+\frac{1}{c\log(p)})$. The second part is smaller than

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{p\sigma(\nu-1)!}{p^\sigma(\sigma-1)^\nu}\frac{\nu(\sigma-1)^{\sqrt{\nu}}\log(p)^{\sqrt{\nu}}}{(\sqrt{\nu})!}\sum_{i=0}^{\infty}\frac{\left((\sigma-1)\log(p)\right)^i}{i!}\\ &\qquad\le \frac{\sigma(\nu-1)!}{(\sigma-1)^\nu}\frac{\nu}{c^{\sqrt{\nu}}(\sqrt{\nu})!}\end{aligned}$$

and thus smaller than $\varepsilon_4\frac{(\nu-1)!}{(\sigma-1)^\nu}$, where $\varepsilon_4 = \frac{\sigma\nu}{(\sqrt{\nu})!c^{\sqrt{\nu}}}$. One may now bound the $\varepsilon_1 + ... + \varepsilon_4$ by the coefficient of $\frac{(\nu-1)!}{(\sigma-1)^\nu}$ minus one in the statement of the lemma. We note that the sum over the primepowers congruent to -1 mod p obeys the same bound with the same proof as above. One of the sums is strictly positive and the other is strictly negative, thus we have proven that

$$|f^\nu(s) + (\log(\sigma-\beta))^{(\nu)}| \le (\frac{\nu}{\nu-\sqrt{\nu}} + c_{p,\nu})\frac{(\nu-1)!}{(\sigma-1)^\nu},$$

or since $\frac{(\nu-1)!}{(\sigma-\beta)^\nu} \le \frac{(\nu-1)!}{(\sigma-1)^\nu}$,

$$|f^\nu(s)| \le (1 + \frac{\nu}{\nu-\sqrt{\nu}} + c_{p,\nu})\frac{(\nu-1)!}{(\sigma-1)^\nu}.$$

The term $\frac{\nu}{\nu-\sqrt{\nu}}$ should be avoided when $\nu = 1$. In this case, we do not split up the sum at $\sqrt{\nu}$ as it contains only one term, and one easily obtains the statement of the theorem. □

3. Bounds around $s = 1$

In this section we state the upper bounds on $f^{(\nu)}(s)$ that one obtains by bounding the L-functions individually and then using the Borel-Caratheodory lemma. The following lemma is proven in [9].

Lemma 3.1. *We have that*

$$|f^{(\nu)}(s)| \leq c^{\nu} p \log^{\nu+1}(p) \nu! \tag{3.1}$$

for s in the union of the discs $B(\sigma, \frac{1}{2c\log(p)}), \sigma \geq 1$.

4. Worst case scenario

Among all functions that satisfy the bounds from the preceding section, what is the largest value $f(1)$ can attain? We define σ_ν to be the point where the bounds (2.3) and the absolute bound (3.1) coincide. We note that

$$\sigma_\nu - 1 = \frac{1}{c\log(p)} \sqrt[\nu]{\frac{1 + \frac{\nu}{\nu - \sqrt{\nu}} + c_{p,\nu}}{\nu p \log(p)}}. \tag{4.1}$$

Theorem 4.1. *For all $p > 200$,*

$$|f(1)| \leq (3 + e^{1/c}) \log_2(p) + O(1),$$

where the $O(1)$-term is bounded by $(1 + e^{1/c}) \log(c) + e^{1/c} + 6.42 + \frac{0.47}{c} + \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(\sqrt{i})! c^{\sqrt{i}}}$

Proof. We use the Taylor expansion of f with errorterm in integral form,

$$f(1) = f(\sigma_\nu) + (1 - \sigma_\nu) f^{'}(\sigma_\nu) + \frac{(1 - \sigma_\nu)^2}{2} f^{(2)}(\sigma_\nu) + \ldots$$
$$+ \int_{\sigma_\nu}^{1} \frac{f^{(\nu)}(x)}{(\nu - 1)!} (1 - x)^{\nu - 1} dx$$

Now note that $|f^{(\nu)}(x)| \leq |f^{(\nu)}(\sigma_\nu)|$ for all x between 1 and σ_ν, and we get

$$|f(1)| \leq |f(\sigma_\nu)| + \sum_{i=1}^{\nu} \frac{(\sigma_\nu - 1)^i}{i!} |f^{(i)}(\sigma_\nu)|.$$

By (4.1), the first term is smaller than

$$\begin{aligned} |f(\sigma_\nu)| &\leq 2\log(\frac{1}{\sigma_\nu - 1}) + \frac{3}{2} \\ &= \frac{3}{2} + 2\log_2(p) + 2\log(c) + 2\frac{\log(\nu p \log(p))}{\nu} - 2\frac{\log(1 + \frac{\nu}{\nu - \sqrt{\nu}} + c_{p,\nu})}{\nu}. \end{aligned}$$

The last term is strictly negative so we leave it out. Upon taking $\nu = \log(p)$, this first contribution is bounded by

$$|f(\sigma_\nu)| \leq 2\log_2(p) + 2\log(c) + \frac{7}{2} + 4\frac{\log_2(p)}{\log(p)}.$$

The rest of the terms, using (2.2) and (2.3), cannot exceed

$$2+\frac{1+\log(c)+\log_2(p)}{c}+\sum_{i=2}^{\nu}\frac{1+\frac{i}{i-\sqrt{i}}+c_{p,i}}{i}.$$

We extract the contribution $2+\sum_{i=2}^{\nu}\frac{1}{i}+\frac{1}{i-\sqrt{i}}$, which is bounded by

$$2\log(\nu)+2\gamma+\sum_{i=2}^{\infty}\frac{\sqrt{i}}{i^2-i^{3/2}}<2\log_2(p)+2\gamma+3/2.$$

The last part to estimate is the sum

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\nu}\left(\frac{1+\log(c)+\log_2(p)}{c^i i!}\right)+\sum_{i=2}^{\nu}\left(\frac{2}{ci\log(p)}+\frac{1}{(\sqrt{i})!c^{\sqrt{i}}}\right).$$

The first component can be bounded by

$$(1+\log(c)+\log_2(p))\,(e^{1/c}-1),$$

and the second component gives $\frac{2(\log_2(p)-1+\gamma)}{c\log(p)}$ plus the convergent series $\sum_{i=2}^{\infty}\frac{1}{(\sqrt{i})!c^{\sqrt{i}}}$. Gathering everything and filling in $p=200$ for the terms converging to zero, we recover the statement of the theorem.

□

We note that due to Kadiri [4] we can take $c=6.41$. Plugging the above estimate of $f(1)$ into the logarithm of the formula (1.1) finishes off the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Remark 4.2. From (1.1) it is now clear that the general behaviour of h_p^- is dominated by

$$G(p)=2p\left(\frac{p}{4\pi^2}\right)^{\frac{p-1}{4}},$$

and that the L-values can perturb this term only slightly. It is somewhat common(see [3], [6]) to state upper bounds for h_p^- in this form, where $4\pi^2$ is replaced by a smaller constant C. E.g. Jakubec proves on the extra assumption whether 2 is a primitive root mod p, that $h_p^-\leq 3.492p\left(\frac{p}{32}\right)^{\frac{p-1}{4}}$, valid from $p>23$.

Corollary 4.3. *We have that* $h_p^-\leq 2p\left(\frac{p}{39}\right)^{\frac{p-1}{4}}$*, for* $p>6838$*.*

Proof. This follows from plugging in $c=6.41$ in Theorem 4.1 and checking that

$$|f(1)|<\frac{p-1}{4}\log(\frac{4\pi^2}{39}),$$

when $p>6838$. □

References

[1] T. Estermann. *Introduction to Modern Prime Number Theory*, volume 41 of *Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics and Mathematical Physics*. Cambridge University Press, 1961.

[2] A. Granville. On the size of the first factor of the class number of a cyclotomic field. *Invent. Math.*, 100(2):321–338, 1990.

[3] Stanislav Jakubec. On some new estimates for $h^-(Q(\zeta_p))$. *Acta Arith.*, 137(1):43–50, 2009.

[4] H. Kadiri. Régions explicites sans zéros pour les fonctions l de dirichlet, phd thesis.

[5] Timo Lepistö. On the growth of the first factor of the class number of the prime cyclotomic field. *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A I*, (577):21, 1974.

[6] Stéphane R. Louboutin. Mean values of L-functions and relative class numbers of cyclotomic fields. *Publ. Math. Debrecen*, 78(3-4):647–658, 2011.

[7] J. Myron Masley and Hugh L. Montgomery. Cyclotomic fields with unique factorization. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 286/287:248–256, 1976.

[8] H. L. Montgomery and R. C. Vaughan. The large sieve. *Mathematika*, 20:119–134, 1973.

[9] Jan-Christoph Puchta. On the class number of p-th cyclotomic field. *Arch. Math. (Basel)*, 74(4):266–268, 2000.

[10] L. C. Washington. *Introduction to Cyclotomic Fields*, volume 83 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer, 1982.

Korneel Debaene
Krijgslaan 281, S22
9000 Ghent
Belgium
e-mail: `kdbaene@cage.ugent.be`